

University of Groningen

Injurious falls and subsequent adverse drug events among elderly - a Swedish population-based matched case-control study

Rausch, C; Laflamme, L; de Rooij, S E; Bültmann, U; Möller, J

Published in:
BMC Geriatrics

DOI:
[10.1186/s12877-017-0594-1](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12877-017-0594-1)

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date:
2017

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Rausch, C., Laflamme, L., de Rooij, S. E., Bültmann, U., & Möller, J. (2017). Injurious falls and subsequent adverse drug events among elderly - a Swedish population-based matched case-control study. *BMC Geriatrics*, 17(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12877-017-0594-1>

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: <https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverne-amendment>.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Open Access



Injurious falls and subsequent adverse drug events among elderly - a Swedish population-based matched case-control study

C. Rausch^{1,2*} , L. Laflamme¹, S. E. de Rooij³, U. Bültmann² and J Möller¹

Abstract

Background: Fall injuries are stressful and painful and they have a range of serious consequences for older people. While there is some clinical evidence of unintentional poisoning by medication following a severe fall injuries, population-based studies on that association are lacking. This is investigated in the current study, in which attention is also paid to different clinical conditions of the injured patients.

Methods: We conducted a matched case-control study of Swedish residents 60 years and older from various Swedish population-based registers. Cases defined as adverse drug events (ADE) by unintentional poisoning leading to hospitalization or death were extracted from the National Patient Register (NPR) and the Cause of Death Register from January 2006 to December 2009 ($n = 4418$). To each case, four controls were matched by sex, age and residential area. Information on injurious falls leading to hospitalization six months prior to the date of hospital admission or death from ADE by unintentional poisoning, and corresponding date for the controls, was extracted from the NPR. Data on clinical conditions, such as dispensed medications, comorbidity and previous fall injuries were also extracted from the Swedish Prescribed Drug Register (SPDR) and NPR. Effect estimates were calculated using conditional logistic regression and presented as odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI).

Results: We found a three-fold increased risk of unintentional poisoning by medication in the six-month period after an injurious fall (OR 3.03; 95% CI, 2.54–3.74), with the most pronounced increase 1–3 weeks immediately after (OR, 7.66; 95% CI, 4.86–12.1). In that time window, from among those hospitalized for a fall ($n = 92$), those who sustained an unintentional poisoning ($n = 60$) tended to be in poorer health condition and receive more prescribed medications than those who did not, although this was not statistically significant. Age stratified analyses revealed a higher risk of poisoning among the younger (aged 60–79 years) than older elderly (80+ years).

Conclusion: Medication-related poisoning leading to hospitalization or death can be an ADE subsequent to an episode of hospitalization for a fall-related injury. Poisoning is more likely to occur closer to the injurious event and among the younger elderly. It cannot be ruled out that some of those falls are themselves ADE and early signs of greater vulnerability among certain patients.

Keywords: adverse drug event, poisoning, injurious falls, inappropriate drug use

* Correspondence: christian.rausch@ki.se

¹Department of Public Health Sciences, Karolinska Institutet, Widerströmska huset 4:th floor, Tomtebodavägen 18A, SE 17177 Stockholm, Sweden

²Department of Health Sciences, Community and Occupational Medicine, University of Groningen, University Medical Center Groningen, Antonius Deusinglaan 1, FA10, 9713 AV Groningen, The Netherlands

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s). 2017 **Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (<http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/>) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

Background

Fall injuries are a serious cause of morbidity among older people and their occurrence increases dramatically with age [1–3]. They are sustained for a variety of different environmental and individual reasons, including the health condition of older people [4–9]. Older people's health condition may in fact imply that they are more likely to either sustain a fall or to be injured because of a fall [2, 3, 10, 11].

While medication has an important function in managing various types of health conditions, a range of studies reveal that it may increase the risk of falling among older people [8, 10, 12, 13]. This is the case for specific medications like opioids [14], certain combinations of medications and also for polypharmacy [8, 12]. The latter is preoccupying too as older people often suffer from several conditions that need to be treated simultaneously. However, even low numbers of medication used, below the polypharmacy threshold of five medications, increase the risk for falls and other injuries among older people [12, 15].

A recent clinical study revealed an association between falls and the occurrence of adverse drug events (ADE) [4]. Unintentional poisoning by medication among older people is a very severe form of ADE that can be fatal [15]. It may occur for various pathophysiological reasons [16, 17] and can be triggered by a change in medication, (e.g., in dosage, number, or type). Changes in medication, in turn, arise most typically when the health condition of older people either improves or worsens. An example of the latter is a fall-related hospitalization, which is frequent among older people [2] and has a range of documented serious short- and long-term consequences [18]. So far, however, there is no epidemiological population-based evidence on the association between injurious fall and subsequent poisoning by medication.

This study aims to determine the association between injurious falls and subsequent ADE by unintentional poisoning, and also pays attention to different clinical conditions of the fall-injured patients.

Methods

Study design

For the purpose of this study, a matched case-control design was nested in a national cohort of 6,981,010 individuals. Individuals born before 1959, and domiciled in Sweden at some point from 1973 onwards were identified using the Total Population Register. Information from the Swedish national health registers was linked to the cohort based on each individual's unique personal identification number. All hospitalizations and deaths among elderly persons 60 years or older were identified for the study period of 1 January 2006 to 31 December

2009, by using the Swedish National Patient Register (NPR) and Swedish Cause of Death Register (CDR).

Participants

Cases were defined as having been hospitalized or died due to ADE, coded as unintentional poisonings or toxic effect by medication and noxious substances using the NPR and CDR. Hospital discharge diagnoses were used (ICD-10 codes: T36–65 as main diagnosis and X40–49 as external cause of morbidity) and for mortalities (ICD-10 codes X40–49) the underlying cause of death was used. Only the first event of ADE by unintentional poisoning was considered during the study period. Cases with a main diagnosis of poisoning, but no underlying cause of poisoning related to medical treatment or medication use (ICD-10 X45–X48, including alcohol intoxication) were not considered. A total of 4418 cases were identified. Date of admission or death was used as index date for the purpose of this study.

To each case, four controls were randomly selected and matched by age (month and year), sex and residential area at the index time. Only individuals not subject to any medication-related hospitalization or death, as per case definition, during the study period were considered eligible as controls. In total 17,672 controls were matched to the cases.

Exposure definition

Information on injurious falls leading to hospitalization 6 months prior to the index date was extracted from the NPR, and identified based on the main discharge diagnosis for unintentional falls (ICD-10 code W00–W19). Only the most recent injurious falls, i.e. closest to the index date, were considered, and fall injuries with a secondary diagnosis related to sequels from prior medical care or medication used were excluded. No injurious fall during the six-month period prior to the ADE was used as reference group. Furthermore, information on previous injurious falls was extracted, and those with more than one hospitalization for a fall injury during the year prior to the index date, were categorized as repeated fallers.

Covariates

Information on prescribed medications was extracted from the Swedish Prescribed Drug Register (SPDR), a computerized system of the pharmaceutical services, which records all the dispensations of prescribed medications at all pharmacies in Sweden through registration of the medications using the specific five-level Anatomical Therapeutic and Chemical Classification system (ATC) [19]. Exposure to medications was operationalized as number and type of prescribed and dispensed medications based on the 5th digit level of the

ATC code during the four months prior to the index date. Number of prescribed medications was categorized into 0; 1, 2, 3, 4, or 1–4; 5–9; and 10 or more. One medication was considered as the reference group in the analyses. Type of medications was categorized based on medications acting on the central nervous system (CNS) (including psychotropic ATC: N05, anti-epileptic N03, psychoanaleptics N06, anti-histamine R06,, cholinesterase inhibitors N07A and anti-Parkinson medications N04), and pain relieving medication (opioid N02A and analgesics N02) was considered separately. In addition, inappropriate drug use (IDU) was assessed based on the Swedish National Board for Health and Welfare published “Indicators of appropriate drug therapy in elderly” (see Additional file 1: Appendix 1) [15].

Co-morbidity is independently associated with ADE [20, 21] and was hence considered as a confounder by using the Charlson Comorbidity Index (CCI) [22] and number of prescribed medications (as defined above). CCI was extracted from the NPR by considering the hospital discharge diagnoses according to ICD-10 codes for the three-year period prior to the index date. Seventeen different morbidities, including but not exclusive to myocardial infarction, dementia and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, are considered in the CCI, and each category is weighted from one to six, with a level higher than five representing the most severe outcomes. CCI was categorized into four groups; 0, 1–2, 3–4 and 5 or more, with 0 serving as the reference group. The use of the CCI in population-based health register studies has been described and validated elsewhere [23, 24].

Additionally, civil status was considered as a potential confounder since previous literature has shown the protective effect of being married [25]. Civil status was extracted from the Total Population Register at time of index date and categorized into: married, unmarried, divorced, widowed or unknown. Married served as the reference group.

Statistical analyses

Descriptive statistics were calculated to describe the characteristics of the study population with regard to demography and morbidity and a comparison was made in the distribution among cases and controls by using Pearson Chi-square tests (Table 1).

Conditional logistic regression was used to assess the association between a recent fall injury and subsequent ADE by unintentional poisoning by estimating odds ratios (OR) with corresponding 95% confidence interval (95% CI). Each potential confounder was assessed in its association with the outcome and adjusted for in the main analysis when showing a statistically significant effect (p -value < 0.05). Civil status included missing data (0.1%) that were excluded from the analysis. Analyses

Table 1 Characteristics of the study population, stratified by case and control status, percentage (%), $n = 22,090$

Characteristics	Category	Percentage		P-value ¹
		Case $n = 4418$	Control $n = 17,672$	
Sex	Male	40.9	40.9	Matched
	Female	59.1	59.1	
Age groups (years)	60–79	51.6	51.6	Matched
	≥ 80	48.4	48.8	
Civil status	Married	35.5	44.3	< 0.001
	Divorced	19.5	12.8	
	Widowed	35.5	34.7	
	Unmarried	9.4	8.1	
CCI	0	56.2	81.9	< 0.001
	1–2	40.8	17.7	
	3–4	2.9	0.4	
	> 4	0.1	0.0	
Number of prescribed medications	0	3.0	22.3	< 0.001
	1	1.9	8.1	
	2	2.3	8.1	
	3	3.5	8.7	
	4	4.9	8.3	
	5–9	33.0	30.0	
	> 9	51.3	14.6	

¹P-value of Pearson Chi-square tests for comparison of distribution between cases and controls

were performed using fall injuries in the six-month period prior to index date but were also analyzed in smaller time intervals; 1–3 months and within 1–3 months further categorized into 1–3 weeks, 4–6 weeks and 7–12 weeks were performed and presented. No injurious fall during the six-month period prior to the ADE was used as the reference group. Results are presented overall and stratified by gender and age group (60–79 years and 80+) (Table 2).

Individuals with recent fall injuries in the time window with the highest risk for an ADE by unintentional poisoning (i.e. 1–3 weeks) were further analyzed based on their clinical characteristics before and after the hospitalization for fall injury. The distribution of characteristics is presented separately for the fall-injured with ($n = 60$) and without ($n = 32$) a subsequent ADE (Table 3). For the purpose of this, the number of medications was assessed in the four-month period prior to the fall injury. Any additional medications prescribed and dispensed between the hospitalization for a fall injury and the index date were considered as newly prescribed medications (number, specific types of medications and IDU) for the time window with the highest risk.

Table 2 Odds Ratios for ADE by time since fall injury, stratified by gender and age group, $n = 22,090$

Fall injury prior to ADE	Frequencies		OR (95% CI)		OR (95% CI) by gender and age group, adjusted			
	Cases $n = 4418$	Controls $n = 17,672$	Matched ^a	Adjusted ^b	Male ^b	Female ^b	60–79 years ^b	≥80 years ^b
No ^c	3318	14,863	1.00 REF	1.00 REF	1.00 REF	1.00 REF	1.00 REF	1.00 REF
Yes ^c , 1–3 months	170	153	5.23 (4.17–6.58)	4.13 (3.23–5.28)	5.22 (3.44–7.94)	3.08 (2.21–4.28)	7.00 (4.27–11.5)	3.09 (2.32–4.12)
1–3 weeks	60	32	8.86 (5.76–13.6)	7.69 (4.87–12.1)	9.43 (3.56–25.0)	7.17 (4.27–12.0)	17.5 (6.23–49.6)	5.03 (2.99–8.46)
4–6 weeks	37	26	6.34 (3.83–10.5)	4.82 (2.80–8.31)	6.62 (2.80–15.6)	3.79 (1.85–7.79)	8.04 (2.92–22.1)	3.46 (1.80–6.65)
7–12 weeks	73	95	3.65 (2.76–4.99)	2.81 (2.01–3.93)	4.59 (2.71–7.77)	1.96 (1.26–3.08)	4.67 (2.37–9.06)	2.22 (1.50–3.28)
No ^c	3318	14,863	1.00 REF	1.00 REF	1.00 REF	1.00 REF	1.00 REF	1.00 REF
Yes ^d , 1–6 months	257	275	4.31 (3.62–5.15)	3.54 (2.93–4.28)	4.88 (3.53–6.76)	2.34 (1.83–2.99)	7.86 (5.33–11.6)	2.40 (1.91–3.01)

^aAdjusted through matching by sex, age and residential area^bAdjusted for matching variables, civil status and CCI^cIndividuals with a fall injury one day up to three month prior to index date^dIndividuals with a fall injury one day up to six month prior to index date

IBM SPSS Statistics 22 was used to perform the statistical analyses.

Ethical approval

According to Swedish regulations, the Personal Data Act (Personuppgiftslagen) § 10 [26], the ethical application specified why the researchers did not consider informed consent as necessary – or feasible (as in the case of a

large register-based study such as ours). The study was approved by the Regional Ethical Review Committee in Stockholm, Sweden (2010/865–31/2 and 2011/15–32).

Results

Table 1 shows that the majority of cases were female (59.1%), were 60–79 years old (51.6%). In comparison to the controls, cases were more likely to be subject to

Table 3 Distribution of clinical characteristics among individuals hospitalized for fall injuries 1–3 weeks prior to the index date stratified by subsequent ADE, $n = 92$

Clinical characteristics	Category	With ADE <i>n</i> = 60% (95% CI)		Without ADE <i>n</i> = 32% (95% CI)		<i>p</i> -value ¹
Prior to the fall injury						
CCI	0	55.7	(43.1–68.3)	62.5	(45.7–79.3)	0.506
	1–2	41.7	(29.2–54.2)	35.0	(18.5–51.5)	
	3–4	3.4	(0.0–8.0)	0.0		
Number of prescribed medications ^a	0	5.0	(0.0–10.51)	9.4	(0.0–19.51)	0.367
	1–4	8.3	(1.3–15.3)	18.8	(5.2–32.3)	
	5–9	45.0	(32.4–57.6)	34.4	(17.9–50.8)	
	>9	41.7	(29.2–54.2)	37.5	(20.7–54.3)	
Repeated fallers ^b	No	73.8	(64.0–86.0)	66.7	(52.7–84.8)	0.923
	Yes	26.6	(15.5–37.9)	33.3	(17.9–50.8)	
After the fall injury ^c						
Number of new medication	0	60.0	(47.6–72.4)	66.7	(50.3–83.0)	0.525
	1–4	33.2	(21.3–45.1)	28.1	(12.5–43.7)	
	>4	6.8	(0.4–13.2)	3.1	(0.0–9.1)	
IDU	Yes	11.5	(5.0–21.8)	6.1	(1.6 – 19.6)	0.252
CNS ^d medication (any)	Yes	28.3	(16.9–39.7)	15.6	(3.0–28.2)	0.173
Analgesics	Yes	13.3	(4.7–21.9)	3.1	(0.0–9.1)	0.155
Opioids	Yes	21.7	(11.3–32.1)	9.4	(0.0–19.5)	0.162

¹ P -value of Pearson Chi-square tests for comparison of proportion between fall-injured with and without subsequent ADE^aNumber of different medications four months prior to fall injury^bConsidering hospitalization for any fall injury up to one year prior to index date^cNew medications, i.e. medications the individual did not dispense in the four months prior to the fall injury. The presented medication categories are not mutually exclusive^dAny medication acting on the central nervous system (CNS)

co-morbidity (CCI >0: 18.1 vs. 43.8%) and had more often prescribed medications (77.7 vs. 97.0%). Among the cases, more than 50% had ten or more medications, compared to only approximately 15% among the controls. Among the controls, 22% did not have any prescribed medications whereas the corresponding number among cases was only 3%.

Table 2 shows the odds ratios for ADE by unintentional poisoning in different time windows since injurious fall, stratified by gender and age group. After taking confounding from civil status and comorbidity into account, the odds of an ADE is higher among elderly with an injurious fall during the last six months (OR, 3.54; 95% CI, 2.93–4.28) compared to those without. The most pronounced increased risk was in the 1–3 weeks after the fall injury (OR 7.69; 95% CI, 4.87–12.1), and decreased over the six-month period but remained statistically significant during the whole six-month period. Older people aged 60–79 years showed the highest risk, specifically in the 1–3 week time window. No gender differences were seen, except when considering the ADE within the six months after the injurious fall (male OR, 4.88; 95% CI, 3.53–6.76; female OR, 2.34; 95% CI, 1.83–2.99).

In Table 3, the clinical characteristics of older people with an injurious fall, with and without a subsequent ADE in the 1–3 weeks, are presented in order to underline the potential differences with regard to prescribed medications and comorbidity before and after the fall injury. Type of fall injury (according to external cause of fall injury) did not differ between the two groups and neither did length of stay in the hospital due to the fall injury (for those with a subsequent ADE) on average 9.4 days (SD 9.1) and for those without a subsequent ADE 9.5 days (SD 8.5) (results not shown), indicating similarly serious fall injuries in both groups. Although not statistically significant, individuals with a subsequent ADE after an injurious fall tend to have a higher comorbidity score (CCI >0: 44.3 vs. 35.0%), but tend to be less likely to be repeated fallers (26.6 vs. 33.3%). Further, they seem to be more likely to have received opioids (21.7 vs. 9.4%) and analgesics (13.3 vs. 3.1%). The most commonly newly prescribed pharmaceutical drug groups were opioids (N02A), other analgesics and antipyretics (N02B), with paracetamol ($n = 11$) and oxycodone ($n = 7$) as the most commonly newly prescribed medications.

Discussion

In this large population-based study, we found that the risk of unintentional poisoning by medication is tripled in the six-month period following an episode of hospitalization for an injurious fall, with the excess risk peaking 1–3 weeks immediately after the fall. This echoes findings from an earlier clinical study [4].

Previous studies have also considered the role of co-morbidity, polypharmacy and social factors, i.e. civil status in regard to ADE [20, 25, 27] and found similar results. While these studies used broader definitions of ADE or focused predominantly on the clinical setting, our population-based study focused on a more severe ADE, defined by hospitalized and fatal unintentional poisoning events. In our data, the association remained after adjustment for the patients' clinical condition (assessed herein by CCI) and civil status. In the peak period, among the fallers, those who had a subsequent poisoning tended to be in poorer health condition and receive more prescribed medications than those who were not, but were also less likely to have a previous history of fall-related hospitalizations. Compared to other studies concerned with length of hospital stay as risk factor for ADE [28, 29], we did not find any significant difference between length of hospital stay for the injurious falls with and without ADE in the peak period. The association between injurious fall and ADE differs by age, where age-stratified analyses revealed a higher risk among the younger (aged 60–79 years) than older elder (80+ years).

As mentioned earlier, medication has the positive benefit of helping to manage various types of health conditions among older people. But in some circumstances they may have caused adverse effects, among which fall and injurious falls are well documented ones [8, 10, 12, 13] and unintentional poisoning, less so [15]. What makes severe injurious falls and subsequent unintentional poisoning related to one another may have different explanations. One being that the clinical condition of some of those who sustain severe fall injuries is poor and that the fall itself is more or less a reflection of their vulnerability to ADEs [4, 6]. In that case, suffering from unintentional poisoning is an additional manifestation of this vulnerability. In our study, however, we only found an indication of such preconditions that would differentiate poisoned and non-poisoned fallers.

An alternative explanation is that sustaining an injurious fall implies changes or adjustments in the patient's drug treatment (e.g., change in dosage, number, and types of medications) and that this in itself may increase the risk of ADE. That could explain why, in this study, the excess risk of poisoning is so much more pronounced shortly after the fall when changes in drug treatment are more likely to occur. Clinical studies have shown that changes in medication regiment can increase the risk for ADE [20, 30].

Physicians might be less inclined to consider atypical presentation of diseases or geriatric syndromes among younger elder [6] and focus their intervention on the fall injury itself rather than on potential external causes like medication use or co-morbidity. This is less likely in the

case of the older elder as there are guidelines for these situations [20].

To our knowledge, this study is one of the few in the area and the first population-based one assessing the association between injurious falls and subsequent unintentional poisoning; with only one previous study in a clinical setting and with smaller sample size ($n < 1000$) [4]. One major strength is the linkage of individual information accessed from Swedish registers which significantly increases the size of the study (nationwide), with high coverage and quality of the assessment of ADE, injurious falls and potential covariates [31]. Using register data eliminates the risk of recall bias, which is often a problem in case-control studies, though we can only generalize our findings to fall injuries and poisonings resulting in hospitalization.

Information concerning medication over the counter was not accessible for the study. Although this may lead to important interactions being unnoticed, it applies equally to cases or controls. It is also of note that we used four months prior to the index date as a reference period. This has the advantage of capturing chronic medication use with long prescription routines (in Sweden, medications are normally prescribed for a treatment period of three months) but it may mean that we miss medications prescribed on a needs basis. This could be important especially among older people with an injurious fall, who often are advised to take pain-relieving medication when needed.

Identification of unintentional poisoning and toxic effects by medication and noxious substances (regarded herein as an ADE) was based on specific and appropriate ICD diagnoses from the hospitalization and death register and this approach has also been employed in previous studies with other risk factors [29, 32]. However, we cannot rule out some degree of inaccuracy in reporting to the registers as physicians might misdiagnose ADE with unspecific clinical presentations, especially among older individuals [33–35].

As in previous studies, we adjusted for co-morbidity and social factors, i.e. civil status [20, 25, 27]. We assessed comorbidity using the Charlson Comorbidity Index which has been described and validated for register-based studies elsewhere [23, 24]. We acknowledge, though, that there might be some residual confounding so as an alternative measure of comorbidity, we also adjusted for number of prescribed medications prior to the index date. Such adjustment did not further reduce the effect. Having adjusted for CCI, we refrained from including another proxy for frailty to avoid over-adjustment and as medications prescribed after the fall injury might act as mediators. However, we cannot rule out that even minor changes in health conditions, such as acute infections that are not captured in

registers, could contribute to the vulnerability of poisoning among fall injured.

Conclusions

This study shows epidemiological evidence that medication-related poisoning leading to hospitalization or death can be an adverse drug event subsequent to an episode of hospitalization for a fall-related injury. Poisoning is more likely to occur closer to the injurious event and among older elder. It cannot be ruled out that some of those falls are themselves ADE and early signs of greater vulnerability among certain patients.

Additional file

Additional file 1: Appendix 1. 15–08-2017. Description of the variable inappropriate drug use. File contains information on the drugs that were considered for the specific groups of “inappropriate drug use”. (DOCX 17 kb)

Abbreviations

ADE: adverse drug events; ATC: Anatomical Therapeutic and Chemical Classification system; CCI: Charlson Comorbidity Index; CDR: Swedish Cause of Death Register; CNS: central nervous system; IDU: inappropriate drug use; NPR: National Patient Register; SPDR: Swedish Prescribed Drug Register

Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

Funding

The study forms part of a research project granted by the Swedish Civil Contingencies Agency. The funding organization has not had any influence on: the design and conduct of the study; the collection, management, analysis or interpretation of the data; or the preparation, review or approval of the manuscript.

Availability of data and materials

We are not allowed to make micro-level data used in this study publicly available, due to their sensitive nature. The study population was based on linkage of several public national registers. According to the Swedish Ethical Review Act, the Personal Data Act, and the Administrative Procedure Act, data can be made available after legal review for researchers who met the criteria for access to this type of sensitive and confidential data. Aggregated data are however available from the authors upon reasonable request.

Authors' contributions

Contributors CR (guarantor) conceived the study idea and participated in the study design, performed the statistical analyses and wrote the first draft of the manuscript; LL conceived the study idea and contributed in the design of the study, interpretation of the results and writing of the manuscript; JM conceived the study idea and contributed to the design of the study, interpretation of the results and manuscript writing; SDR contributed to the study idea and writing of the manuscript. UB contributed to the interpretation of the results and the writing of the manuscript. All authors approved the final version of the manuscript.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The Regional Ethical Review Committee in Stockholm waived the need for written informed consent from the participants, when the project as a whole was reviewed. According to Swedish regulations, the Personal Data Act (Personuppgiftslagen) § 10 [26], the ethical application specified why the researchers did not consider informed consent as necessary – or feasible (as in the case of a large register-based study such as ours).

The study was approved by the Regional Ethical Review Committee in Stockholm, Sweden (2010/865–31/2 and 2011/15–32).

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Author details

¹Department of Public Health Sciences, Karolinska Institutet, Widerströmska huset 4:th floor, Tomtebodavägen 18A, SE 17177 Stockholm, Sweden.

²Department of Health Sciences, Community and Occupational Medicine, University of Groningen, University Medical Center Groningen, Antonius Deusinglaan 1, FA10, 9713 AV Groningen, The Netherlands. ³Department of Internal Medicine, University Center for Geriatric Medicine, University of Groningen, University Medical Center Groningen, Hanzplein 1, 9713 GZ Groningen, the Netherlands.

Received: 14 November 2016 Accepted: 22 August 2017

Published online: 04 September 2017

References

1. Who. WHO Global Report on Falls Prevention in Older Age. Community Health (Bristol). [Internet]. 2007;53. Available from: http://www.who.int/ageing/publications/Falls_prevention7March.pdf. Accessed 5 May 2017.
2. Alexander BH, Rivara FP, Wolf ME. The cost and frequency of hospitalization for fall-related injuries in older adults. <https://dx.doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.82.7.1020>. American Public Health Association; 2011;
3. Korhonen N, Kannus P, Niemi S, Palvanen M, Parkkari J. Fall-induced deaths among older adults: nationwide statistics in Finland between 1971 and 2009 and prediction for the future. *Injury*. 2013;44:867–71.
4. Wierenga PC, Buurman BM, Parlevliet JL, Van Munster BC, Smorenburg SM, Inouye SK, et al. Association between acute geriatric syndromes and medication-related hospital admissions. *Drugs Aging*. 2012;29:691–9.
5. Wang S-Y, Shamlan TA, Talley KMC, Ramakrishnan R, Kane RL. Not just specific diseases: systematic review of the association of geriatric syndromes with hospitalization or nursing home admission. *Arch Gerontol Geriatr*. [Internet]. 2013 [cited 2016 Apr 30];57:16–26. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23578847>
6. Inouye SK, Studenski S, Tinetti ME, Kuchel GA. Geriatric syndromes: clinical, research, and policy implications of a core geriatric concept. *J Am Geriatr Soc*. [Internet]. 2007 [cited 2015 Jul 14];55:780–791. Available from: <http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=2409147&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract>
7. Akyol AD. Falls in the elderly: what can be done? *Int Nurs Rev*. [Internet]. Blackwell Publishing Ltd; 2007 [cited 2016 Jun 28];54:191–196. Available from: <http://doi.wiley.com/10.1111/j.1466-7657.2007.00505.x>
8. Hartikainen S, Lönnroos E, Louhivuori K. Medication as a risk factor for falls: critical systematic review. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci*. [Internet]. Oxford University Press; 2007 [cited 2016 Jun 28];62:1172–1181. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17921433>
9. Helgadóttir B, Laflamme L, Monárrez-Espino J, Möller J. Medication and fall injury in the elderly population; do individual demographics, health status and lifestyle matter? *BMC Geriatr*. [Internet]. 2014 [cited 2015 Jan 16];14:92. Available from: <http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=4150120&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract>
10. Helgadóttir B, Laflamme L, Monárrez-Espino J, Möller J, Rubenstein L, Josephson K, et al. Medication and fall injury in the elderly population; do individual demographics, health status and lifestyle matter? *BMC Geriatr*. [Internet]. BioMed Central; 2014 [cited 2016 May 13];14:92. Available from: <http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2318/14/92>
11. Gill T, Taylor AW, Pengelly A. A Population-Based Survey of Factors Relating to the Prevalence of Falls in Older People. *Gerontology* [Internet]. 2005 [cited 2017 Feb 20];51:340–345. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16110237>
12. Laflamme L, Monárrez-Espino J, Johnell K, Elling B, Möller J. Type, number or both? A population-based matched case-control study on the risk of fall injuries among older people and number of medications beyond fall-inducing drugs. *PLoS One* [Internet]. 2015 [cited 2015 May 26];10:e0123390. Available from: <http://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0123390>
13. Berdot S, Bertrand M, Dartigues J-F, Fourrier A, Tavernier B, Ritchie K, et al. Inappropriate medication use and risk of falls—a prospective study in a large community-dwelling elderly cohort. *BMC Geriatr*. [Internet]. 2009 [cited 2014 Sep 13];9:30. Available from: <http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2318/9/30>
14. Laflamme L, Möller J, Söderberg KC, Monárrez-Espino J, Johnell K. Prescribed Medications and Fall Injuries A Descriptive Swedish National Study. Karolinska Institutet, Department of Public Health Sciences; 2012. https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Joel_Monarez-Espino/publication/272499319_Prescribed_Medications_and_Fall_Injuries_A_Descriptive_Swedish_National_Study/links/54e731310cf2cd2e02917c0d.pdf. Accessed 26 May 2015.
15. Rausch C, Laflamme L, Bültmann U, Möller J. Number of medications and adverse drug events by unintentional poisoning among older adults in consideration of inappropriate drug use: a Swedish population-based matched case-control study. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol*. 2017;73:743–9. [Internet]. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28280891> [cited 2017 May 5].
16. Leendertse AJ, Visser D, Egberts ACG, van den Bemt PM. The relationship between study characteristics and the prevalence of medication-related hospitalizations: a literature review and novel analysis. *Drug Saf*. 2010 [cited 2015 May 28];33:233–244. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20158287>
17. Mallet L, Spinewine A, Huang A. The challenge of managing drug interactions in elderly people. *Lancet* [Internet]. 2007 [cited 2014 Nov 10];370:185–191. Available from: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0140673607610927>
18. Terroso M, Rosa N, Torres Marques A, Simoes R. Physical consequences of falls in the elderly: a literature review from 1995 to 2010. *Eur Rev Aging Phys Act*. [Internet]. 2014 [cited 2017 Apr 28];11:51–59. Available from: <http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s11556-013-0134-8>
19. Methodology WHOCC for DS. Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical (ATC) classification system. WHOCC - Structure and principles [Internet]. 2014. Available from: http://www.whocc.no/atc/structure_and_principles/. Accessed 5 May 2017.
20. Leendertse AJ, Egberts ACG, Stoker LJ, van den Bemt PM. Frequency of and risk factors for preventable medication-related hospital admissions in the Netherlands. *Arch Intern Med*. [Internet]. 2008 [cited 2015 May 26];168:1890–1896. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18809816>
21. Field TS, Gurwitz JH, Harrold LR, Rothschild J, DeBellis KR, Seger AC, et al. Risk factors for adverse drug events among older adults in the ambulatory setting. *J Am Geriatr Soc* [Internet]. 2004 [cited 2015 Apr 14];52:1349–1354. Available from: <http://doi.wiley.com/10.1111/j.1532-5415.2004.52367.x>
22. Charlson ME, Pompei P, Ales KL, MacKenzie CR. A new method of classifying prognostic comorbidity in longitudinal studies: development and validation. *J Chronic Dis*. [Internet]. 1987 [cited 2014 Mar 21];40:373–383. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/3558716>
23. Thygesen SK, Christiansen CF, Christensen S, Lash TL, Sørensen HT. The predictive value of ICD-10 diagnostic coding used to assess Charlson comorbidity index conditions in the population-based Danish National Registry of Patients. *BMC Med Res Methodol*. [Internet]. BioMed Central; 2011 [cited 2017 Feb 22];11:83. Available from: <http://bmcmmedresmethodol.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/1471-2288-11-83>
24. Nilssen Y, Strand T-E, Wiik R, Bakken IJ, Yu XQ, O'Connell DL, et al. Utilizing national patient-register data to control for comorbidity in prognostic studies. *Clin Epidemiol* [Internet]. Dove Press; 2014 [cited 2017 Mar 7];6:395–404. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25368532>
25. Johnell K, Laflamme L, Möller J, Monárrez-Espino J. The role of marital status in the association between benzodiazepines, psychotropics and injurious road traffic crashes: a register-based nationwide study of senior drivers in Sweden. *PLoS One* [Internet]. 2014 [cited 2014 Apr 30];9:e86742. Available from: <http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=3906075&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract>
26. (Datainspektionen), Authority DL. Personal Data Act (Personuppgiftslagen) [Internet]. Available from: <http://www.datainspektionen.se/in-english/legislation/the-personal-data-act/>. Accessed 17 July 2017.
27. Nguyen JK, Fouts MM, Kotabe SE, Lo E. Polypharmacy as a risk factor for adverse drug reactions in geriatric nursing home residents. *Am J Geriatr Pharmacother*. [Internet]. 2006 [cited 2014 Oct 8];4:36–41. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16730619>
28. Gurwitz JH, Field TS, Harrold LR, Rothschild J, DeBellis K, Seger AC, et al. Incidence and preventability of adverse drug events among older persons

- in the ambulatory setting. *JAMA* [Internet]. 2003 [cited 2015 Mar 27];289:1107–1116. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12622580>
29. Amann C, Hasford J, Stausberg J. [Hospital admission due to adverse drug events (ADE): an analysis of German routine hospital data of 2006]. *Gesundheitswesen* [Internet]. 2012 [cited 2015 Apr 22];74:639–644. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22016197>
 30. Willson MN, Greer CL, Weeks DL. Medication regimen complexity and hospital readmission for an adverse drug event. *Ann Pharmacother* [Internet]. SAGE Publications; 2014 [cited 2015 Mar 31];48:26–32. Available from: <http://aop.sagepub.com/content/48/1/26.full>
 31. Ludvigsson JF, Andersson E, Ekbom A, Feychting M, Kim J-L, Reuterwall C, et al. External review and validation of the Swedish national inpatient register. *BMC Public Health* [Internet]. BioMed Central; 2011 [cited 2017 Apr 28];11:450. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21658213>
 32. Andrew E, Tellerup M, Termälä A-M, Jacobsen P, Gudjonsdottir GA. Poisonings in the Nordic countries in 2007: a 5-year epidemiological follow-up. *Clin Toxicol (Phila)* [Internet]. Informa Healthcare New York; 2012 [cited 2014 Oct 7];50:210–214. Available from: <http://informahealthcare.com/doi/abs/10.3109/15563650.2012.660697>
 33. Hohl CM, Karpov A, Reddekopp L, Stausberg J. ICD-10 codes used to identify adverse drug events in administrative data: a systematic review. *J Am Med Inform Assoc*. [Internet]. 2014;21:547–557. Available from: <http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=3994866&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract>
 34. Cassidy N, Lee SKK, Donegan CF, Tracey JA. Poisoning in older adults: the experience of the national poisons information centre. *Ir Med J*. [Internet]. 2008 [cited 2014 Oct 7];101:268–270. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19051613>
 35. Bates N, Dines A. The risks of poisoning in later life. *Elder Care* [Internet]. 1999 [cited 2014 Oct 31];11:8–11. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10542525>

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and we will help you at every step:

- We accept pre-submission inquiries
- Our selector tool helps you to find the most relevant journal
- We provide round the clock customer support
- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- Inclusion in PubMed and all major indexing services
- Maximum visibility for your research

Submit your manuscript at
www.biomedcentral.com/submit

